P2

if I express interaction/relation ~~meaning~~ of a ~~sentence~~ tuple visually as a function

then how can I represent its meta~~data~~?

stupid table



given this tuple one does nothing to express meaning. Leaving the other abstract (infinitely high) if it were unknown (not table but maybe tricycle)







Cant put both in same system cause difference in abstraction entity is unknown. but stupid is not applicable hence the hole.

bread is brown, has white and black stains

↓ dimension brown

other dime

1° set the ~~dies~~ entities to be each of one (its own dimension)

actually each aussage only has its discrete dime

each meaning is of several aussagen, so they are inbetween



bread. ...

somehow definite / almost continuous

bread. ... . _ ← last, most discrete aussagen

describe an ~~almost~~ infinitesimal small part of bread's meaning

or/and we see bread as a series, where parts of parent series are series themselves.

↳ this is hugely uncomfortig

it is also possible to split all meanings into aussagen as far as possible

↳ so that hopefully only aussagen are needed to express the word

! treat entities as units - manche zusammengesetzt, andere nicht

— function in itself in the coordinate system

the way that ~~the~~ two meanings interact (as process)

↓

instance → P2

Sobald ich der Idee einen Namen gebe, schränke ich sie in ihrer Fähigkeit an, Bedeutungen anzunehmen.



3. statement has mirror, seems like different meaning, has same origin
2. statement
Meaning through 3 statement

Meaning as a function of statement



$f(s) = A$ A when given "bread"

$s = m$ A is B "bread is brown"



A has B does C
not sufficiently defined
↳ add statements / entity (aussagen, meaning)

some kind of paradox "bread has crust and crumbles"
~~"human bread"~~ "

"human bread"



so basically we can define / suggest meaning of a discrete word "bread" using subdivisions made of other meaning / aussagen like such "bread. (a1, a2 ...) (a
1. parents 2. p

(connection, two aussagen) = one meaning